3

JUN 17 1943

CHARLES CLIMBIC COUPLEY

THE REST

Supreme Court of the United States

October Term, 1943.

No.10981107

KEASBEY & MATTISON COMPANY,

Petitimer,

against

WALTER J. ROTHENSIES, Collector of Internal Revenue,

Respondent.

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT.

CHARLES MYERS,
KENNETH W. GEMMILL,
Attorneys for Petitioner.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS OF PETITION.

	Page
The Nature of the Proceeding	. 1
The Question Presented	
Summary Statement of Matter Involved	2
Reasons Why the Writ of Certiorari Should Issue	3
Prayer	5

# CASES CITED IN PETITION.

	Page
Biddle v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 302 U.S.	
573	3, 4
Burnet v. Chicago Portrait Co., 285 U. S. 1	4
Eisner v. Macomber, 252 U. S. 189	3
H. vering v. Bruun, 309 U. S. 461	4
Helvering v. Griffiths, decided March 1, 1943, No. 467,	
October Term 1942	4
Helvering v. Horst, 311 U. S. 112	4
AUTHORITIES AND STATUTES CITED IN PETIS	TION.
	Page
Quebec Mining Act (15 Geo. V, Chap. 37)	2, 3
Section 131 of the Revenue Act of 1936	
Section 158 of the Revenue Act of 1942	

# TABLE OF CONTENTS OF BRIEF.

	Page
Opinions Below	6
Jurisdiction	6
Statement of the Case	
Statutes Involved	6
Argument	11
Conclusion	23

# CASES CITED IN BRIEF.

	Page
Burnet v. Wells, 289 U. S. 670	13
Columbian Carbon Co., 25 B. T. A. 456 (A)	18
Crawford Music Corp., 40 B. T. A. 284 (A)	16, 17
Douglas v. Willeuts, 296 U. S. 1	13
Eisner v. Macomber, 252 U. S. 189	11
Eitingon-Schild Co., 21 B. T. A. 1163 (A)	21
Havana Elec. Ry. Lt. & Pwr. Co., 34 B. T. A. 783 (A).	17
Helvering v. Blumenthal, 296 U. S. 552	13
Helvering v. Bruun, 309 U. S. 461	13
Helvering v. Clifford, 309 U. S. 331	13
Helvering v. Estate of Enright, 312 U. S. 636	13
Helvering v. Griffiths, decided March 1, 1943, No. 467	
October Term 1942	11, 12
Helvering v. Horst, 311 U. S. 112	13
Helvering v. Independent Life Insurance Co., 292	
U. S. 371	
Helvering v. Queen Ins. Co., 115 F. (2d) 341, cert. den	
312 U. S. 706	
Helvering v. Schweitzer, 296 U. S. 551	
Mallouk, 34 B. T. A. 269	
New Colonial Ice Company, Inc. v. Helvering, 292 U. S	
435	
Seatrain Lines, Inc., 46 B. T. A. 1076 (NA)	. 17
Trico Products Corp., 46 B. T. A. 346, on appeal	
United States v. Kirby Lumber Co., 284 U. S. 1	

# STATUTES IN BRIEF.

	Page
Internal Revenue Code. c. 9	15
Judicial Code, § 240 (a), 28 U. S. Code § 347 (a)	6
Quebec Mining Act	10, 14
Revenue Act of 1921	13
Revenue Act of 1936	13
Revenue Act of 1936, § 131	15
Revenue Act of 1936, c. 690, 49 Stat. 1648	6
Revenue Act of 1942, § 172	15
RULINGS AND REGULATIONS.	
	Page
G. C. M. 800; (1926) C. B. V-2, 75	16
G. C. M. 6042; (1929) C. B. VIII-1, 184	17
G. C. M. 7629; (1930) C. B. IX-1, 146	17
G. C. M. 8478; (1930) C. B. IX-2, 224	21
G. C. M. 11039; (1932) C. B. XI-2, 118	22
G. C. M. 14625; C. B. XIV-1, 114	18
G. C. M. 18182; C. B. 1937-1, 149	16
I. T. 1522; (1922) C. B. 1-2, 199	17
I. T. 2070; (1924) C. B. III-2, 250	16
I. T. 2118; (1924) C. B. III-2, 251	19
I. T. 2188; (1925) C. B. IV-2, 82	19
I. T. 2445; (1929) C. B. VIII-1, 102	17
I. T. 2499; (1929) C. B. VIII-2, 325	22
I. T. 2596; (1931) C. B. X-2, 184	20
I. T. 2620; (1932) C. B. XI-1, 44	8, 21
I. T. 2762; (1934) C. B. XIII-1, 64	16
I. T. 2946; (1935) C. B. XIV-2, 109	19
I. T. 2964; (1936) C. B. XV-1, 138	16
I. T. 3040; C. B. 1937-1, 109	22
I. T. 3138; C. B. 1937-2, 230	20
I. T. 3171; C. B. 1938-1, 192	18

# RULINGS AND REGULATIONS (Continued).

	Page
I. T. 3193; C. B. 1938-1, 193	22
I. T. 3201; C. B. 1938-2, 196	
I. T. 3211; C. B. 1938-2, 177	
I. T. 3223; C. B. 1938-2, 179	
I. T. 3245; C. B. 1939-1, 112	
I. T. 3288; C. B. 1939-1, 139	
I. T. 3313; C. B. 1939-2, 171	
I. T. 3320; C. B. 1939-2, 191	
I. T. 3371; C. B. 1940-1, 102	
I. T. 3381; C. B. 1940-1, 57	
I. T. 3385; C. B. 1940-1, 103	. 19
I. T. 3429; C. B. 1940-2, 136	. 20
I. T. 3433; C. B. 1940-2, 137	. 21
I. T. 3500; C. B. 1941-2, 138	. 18
O. D. 372; (1920) C. B. 2, 115	. 20
Regulations 94, Art. 21-1	
S. M. 3982; (1925) C. B. IV-2, 204	

# Supreme Court of the United States.

OCTOBER TERM, 1943.

No.

KEASBEY & MATTISON COMPANY,

Petitioner.

against

WALTER J. ROTHENSIES, COLLECTOR OF INTERNAL REVENUE,

Respondent.

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT.

To the Honorable the Chief Justice and the Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States:

Keasbey & Mattison Company petitions for a writ of certiorari to review a decision of the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit rendered on March 26, 1943, which affirmed the decision of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania made on February 2, 1942.

#### THE NATURE OF THE PROCEEDING.

The Petitioner brought this action to recover Federal income taxes paid for its fiscal year ending March 31, 1937, on the ground that taxes paid by the Petitioner to the Province of Quebec under the Quebec Mining Act were income

taxes which the Petitioner was entitled to credit against income taxes due the United States under Section 131 of the Revenue Act of 1936. The District court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania held that taxes paid under the Quebec Mining Act were not income taxes and that the Petitioner was not entitled to credit such taxes against income taxes due the United States. The Petitioner appealed to the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit which affirmed the decision of the District Court. It is this decision of the Circuit Court of Appeals, affirming the District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, which the Petitioner seeks to review in this Court.

# THE QUESTION PRESENTED.

Is the tax imposed on "annual profits" of mining companies by the Province of Quebec a tax on income under criteria established by the laws of the United States so as to be an allowable credit against income taxes due the United States?

### SUMMARY STATEMENT OF MATTER INVOLVED.

The taxpayer, a corporation of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, owned and operated asbestos mines in the Province of Quebec and, as required by the Quebec Mining Act (15 Geo. V, Chap. 37), paid taxes to the Province of Quebec by reason of its operations in that Province. The Quebec Mining Act imposes a tax upon the Company's annual profits which are defined as "the gross value of the year's output sold, utilized or shipped" less the deductions specified in the Act. On its Federal income tax return for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1937, the Petitioner claimed as a credit against the taxes due the United States, the

amount of taxes so paid the Province of Quebec, \$10,072.26, as income taxes within Section 131 of the Revenue Act of 1936. The Commissioner of Internal Revenue disallowed that credit, but he allowed the amount of tax so paid the Province of Quebec as a deduction in computing the Petitioner's net income for that fiscal year. The Commissioner assessed an additional tax of \$7,085.28 against the Petitioner, which was paid, and this action was instituted to recover that amount. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania held that the Quebec Mining Tax was not an income tax and this decision was affirmed by the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

# REASONS WHY THE WRIT OF CERTIORARI SHOULD ISSUE.

1. The Circuit Court of Appeals has decided a Federal question in a way probably in conflict with the applicable decisions of this Court.

In deciding whether the Quebec Mining Tax was an income tax, the Circuit Court of Appeals stated that the term "income tax" as used in Section 131 of the Revenue Act of 1936 must be defined in accordance with the concept of income taxes as used in the United States, following the decision of this Court in Biddle v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 302 U. S. 573. However, in deciding what criteria made a tax an income tax in the United States, the Court used the definition of income in Eisner v. Macomber, 252 U. S. 189, stating:

"The defined concept of income has been uniformly restricted to a gain realized or a profit derived from capital, labor, or both."

That concept of income has been rejected by this Court in Helvering v. Bruun, 309 U. S. 461, Helvering v. Horst, 311 U. S. 112, and particularly in Helvering v. Griffiths, decided on March 1, 1943, No. 467, October Term, 1942.

The decision of the Circuit Court of Appeals adopts a very narrow and limited view of the application of Section 131 of the Revenue Act of 1936, whereas this Court has stated that a similar section in the Revenue Act of 1921 should be broadly interpreted to permit corporations incorporated in this country to carry on their activities abroad without incorporating subsidiaries. Burnet v. Chicago Portrait Co., 285 U. S. 1.

2. The Circuit Court of Appeals has decided an important question of Federal law which has not been but should be settled by this Court.

This Court, in Biddle v. Commissioner of Internal Revenue, 302 U.S. 573, held that a foreign tax which may be used as a credit against income taxes due the United States must be a tax which meets the criteria of income taxes in the United States. However, no case has been presented in which it was necessary to decide what criteria are to be applied and how exactly a foreign tax must conform to the details of the United States income tax. Must the foreign tax follow the Internal Revenue Code exactly as to definition of gross income and of net income and as to credits and other details, or need there be only substantial conformity? As will be seen in the brief in support of this petition, the Bureau of Internal Revenue has issued rulings on taxes of many foreign countries, and it is important that this Court decide what parts of the United States income tax must be followed by the foreign tax in order that it may be taken as a credit against taxes due the United States.

The decision of this question is less important for the year 1942 and subsequent years, because Congress in Section 158 of the Revenue Act of 1942 broadened the scope of taxes which may be taken as a credit to include not only income taxes but also "a tax paid in lieu of a tax upon income." However, by that enactment Congress did not settle the problem for all income tax years prior to 1942, and even for the year 1942 and subsequent years the question remains as to whether a tax of a foreign country is a tax on income.

#### PRAYER.

For the foregoing reasons your Petitioner prays that a writ of certiorari issue out of this Court to the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit commanding said Court to certify and send to this Court on a date to be determined, a full and complete transcript of the record of all of the proceedings of such Circuit Court of Appeals had in this case to the end that this cause may be reviewed and determined by this Court; that the judgment of the Circuit Court of Appeals be reversed; and that the Petitioner be granted such other and further relief as may be proper.

KEASBEY & MATTISON COMPANY,

By Charles Myers, Kenneth W. Gemmill,

Its Attorneys.

Dated, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, June 15, 1943.

#### BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PETITION.

#### OPINIONS BELOW.

The opinion of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania is unreported but appears at R. ; the opinion of the Circuit Court of Appeals is reported in 133 F. (2d) 894.

#### JURISDICTION.

The opinion of the Circuit Court of Appeals was entered on February 17, 1943. Within the time permitted by the rules of the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit, the Petitioner duly filed a petition for rehearing which was denied on March 17, 1943. The judgment of the Circuit Court of Appeals was entered March 26, 1943. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under the provisions of Section 240 (a) of the Judicial Code, 28 U. S. Code § 347 (a) as amended by the Act of February 13, 1925.

## STATEMENT OF THE CASE.

The summary statement of the facts is given in the petition, pp. 2, 3, above.

# STATUTES INVOLVED.

The applicable provisions of the Revenue Act of 1936, c. 690, 49 Stat. 1648, are the following:

"Sec. 23. Deductions from Gross Income.

In computing net income there shall be allowed as deductions:

(c) Taxes Generally.—Taxes paid or accrued within the taxable year, except—

(2) income, war-profits, and excess-profits taxes imposed by the authority of any foreign country or possessions of the United States; but this deduction shall be allowed in the case of a tax-payer who does not signify in his return his desire to have to any extent the benefits of section 131 (relating to credit for taxes of foreign countries and possessions of the United States);

Sec. 31. Taxes of Foreign Countries and Possessions of United States.

The amount of income, war-profits, and excessprofits taxes imposed by foreign countries or possessions of the United States shall be allowed as a credit against the tax, to the extent provided in section 131.

SEC. 131. TAXES OF FOREIGN COUNTRIES AND POSSESSIONS OF UNITED STATES.

- (a) Allowance of Credit.—If the taxpayer signifies in his return his desire to have the benefits of this section, the tax imposed by this title shall be credited with:

The provisions of the Quebec Mining Act, R. S. (1909), 2098, are as follows:

# QUEBEC MINING ACT R. S. (1909), 2098.

#### "Division I

#### DECLARATORY AND INTERPRETATIVE

- 3. In this act, as well as in all orders-in-council or regulations promulgated in virtue thereof, unless the context or subject-matter otherwise requires:
- 16. The word 'output' includes the mineral-bearing substances coming from the mine such as those sold, removed or placed upon the market, including therein those treated or partially treated at any smelter or mill forming part of the works.
- 17. The words 'gross value of the year's output' mean the real value of the ore and minerals at the ruling market prices at the time of their sale or of their use, and, in case of doubt, the representatives of the Department of Colonization, Mines and Fisheries may themselves make an estimate of the value of the ores or minerals sold, utilized or shipped by the operators, and such estimate shall be final to establish the gross value . . .

# Division III

#### DUTIES ON MINES

- 11. There shall be paid to the Crown, at the time and in the manner hereinafter provided, the duties imposed by this division. \* \* \* R. S. (1909), 2105, 15 Geo. V, Ch. 37, section 2.
- 12. 1. From and after the first of January, 1935, every mine in the Province of Quebec shall be liable for, and the owner, manager, holder, lessee, occupant, or operator of the mine shall pay, the following duties:
- a. Upon annual profits in excess of \$10,000.00 up to \$1,000,000. . . . 4%; \* \* \* R. S. (1909), 2105a; 15 Geo. V, Ch. 37, Section 2.

13. The annual profits shall be ascertained and fixed in the following manner:

From the gross value of the year's output, sold, utilized or shipped during the year there shall be deducted the costs of operation and expenses incurred during the year in question, to wit:

- 1. The cost of transportation of the output of the mine, if such cost is borne by the operator, owner, occupant or lessee;
- 2. The working expenses of the mine, including the salaries and the wages of the workmen and employees of the mine, mills and plant, but exclusive of other salaries or wages;
- 3. The cost of the necessary power and light for the operation of the mine, mills and plant;
- 4. The cost of explosives, fuel and any other supplies used in the mining operations and in the treatment of the minerals by the operator;
- 5. The cost of insurance upon the equipment, the buildings at the mine and the stock in storage;
- 6. An annual amount, based upon the probable annual average cost of repairs and renewals necessary to maintain operations in a condition of efficiency, to cover the depreciation due to ordinary wear and tear of the buildings and equipment, provided, however, that such amount shall not exceed fifteen per cent of the value of same at the commencement of the year, as appraised by an assessor appointed by the Minister;
- 7. The cost of work performed during the year in sinking shafts, making excavations and workings and trenching, in or upon the mining property, with a view to opening up or testing for minerals. R. S. (1909), 2105b, 15 Geo. V, Ch. 37, section 2.

14. No allowance or deduction shall be made for the cost of new installations or new buildings made or erected during the year, nor for depreciation in the value of the mine, by reason of exhaustion or partial exhaustion of minerals, due to the mining thereof. R. S. (1909), 2105c; 15 Geo. V, Ch. 37, Section 2."

### ARGUMENT.

The Circuit Court of Appeals, in deciding whether the Quebec Mining Tax was an income tax, applied the doctrine that income can only be realized if there is something severed from capital following its interpretation of this Court's decision in Eisner v. Macomber, 252 U. S. 189. That the concept of income in the United States is limited to a doctrine of severance was expressly repudiated by this Court in Helvering v. Griffiths, decided on March 1, 1943, in which Mr. Justice Jackson, writing the opinion for this Court, in speaking of the change in the Treasury Department's Regulations with regard to the taxation of stock dividends states:

"This action followed the decision of this Court in Helvering v. Bruun, 309 U. S. 461, on March 25, 1940, which rejected the concept that taxable gain could arise only when the taxpayer was able to sever increment from his original capital. It preceded by ten days the decision in Helvering v. Horst, 311 U. S. 112, which held that there was no exemption from taxation where economic gain is enjoyed 'by some event other than the taxpayer's personal receipt of property or money.' Id. at 116. Each of these decisions undermined further the original theoretical bases of the decision in Eisner v. Macomber."

Mr. Justice Douglas, writing the dissenting opinion in the *Griffiths* case in which Justices Black and Murphy concurred, also points out that the definition of income as set forth in *Eisner v. Macomber* is too restricted and will not stand analysis in view of the Court's later decisions. Thus, Mr. Justice Douglas says:

"The notion that there can be no 'income' to the stockholders in such a case within the meaning of the Sixteenth Amendment unless the gain is 'severed from' capital and made available to the recipient for his 'senarate use, benefit and disposal' (Eisner v. Macomber. 252 U. S. pp. 207, 211) will not stand analysis. cases like Koshland v. Helvering and Helvering v. Gowran where stock dividends were held to be taxable as income, both the original investment and the accumulations were retained by the company. Yet those cases hold that stockholders may receive 'income' from the operations of their corporation though the corporation makes no distribution of assets to them. United States v. Phellis, 257 U. S. 156; Rockefeller v. United States, 257 U. S. 176; Cullinan v. Walker, 262 U. S. 134; Marr v. United States, 268 U. S. 536. Other cases make plain that there may be 'income' though neither money nor property has been received by the taxpayer. Benefits accruing as the result of the discharge of the taxpayer's indebtedness or obligations constitute familiar examples. Old Colony Trust Co. v. Commissioner, 279 U. S. 716; Douglas v. Willcuts, 296 U. S. 1; United States v. Hendler, 303 U. S. 564. And increase in the value of property as a result of improvements made by the lessee are taxable income to the lessor even though the taxpayer could not 'sever the improvement begetting the gain from his original capital.' "

The Circuit Court of Appeals held that, since the Quebec Mining Tax applied to the gross value of the year's output which was utilized or shipped during the year, as well as to the year's output which was sold, it was not a tax on income because there had been no realization of income insofar as minerals utilized or shipped. That decision is contrary to this Court's opinion in the Griffiths case, supra, and to other decisions of this Court.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Income has been found in a discharge of the taxpayer's indebtedness, *United States v. Kirby Lumber Co.*, 284 U. S. 1; in the payment of premiums on policies of insur-

The effect of the requirement that the value of minerals placed on the market be included in profits is merely to relate the deductions allowed a mining company during the year to the value of the minerals produced in that year. The work to produce certain minerals is carried on in one year even though the minerals are not sold and the Quebec statute merely provides that for the mining company to secure the benefit of those deductions the value of the minerals taken from the mine must be included in its profits for that year. The United States income tax contains similar provisions. Thus, the Revenue Act of 1921 provided that an Insurance Company could not take a deduction for depreciation, taxes and other expenses relating to real estate owned by the Insurance Company unless it included in its gross income the rental value of the space occupied by the Company. This Court sustained the validity of this provision in Helvering v. Independent Life Insurance Co., 292 U. S. 371. Likewise, the Commissioner's Regulations under the Revenue Act of 1936 and subsequent Revenue Acts, provide that in the case of a taxpayer doing work

ance on the taxpayer's life by securities placed in trust by the taxpayer, although in such a case the only gain to the taxpayer is in seeing his family provided for, Burnet v. Wells, 289 U. S. 670; in the payment of debts or in payments to a divorced wife or for the support of children by means of a trust created by the taxpayer: Helvering v. Blumenthal, 296 U. S. 552; Douglas v. Willcuts, 296 U. S. 1; Helvering v. Schweitzer, 296 U. S. 551; in benefiting one's family through the retained control of income, Helvering v. Clifford, 309 U.S. 331; at death in uncompleted services, Helvering v. Estate of Enright, 312 U. S. 636; in the taxpayer's satisfaction in making a gift, Helvering v. Horst, 311 U.S. 112; in the termination of a lease on the taxpayer's own property where the tenant had erected a building during the term of the lease, Helvering v. Bruun, 309 U.S. 461.

under a contract which takes more than one year, the taxpayer may report each year a percentage of the gross income based upon percentage of completion of the contract whether any money is received by the taxpayer in that year or not and if income is so reported, all of that year's expenditures must be deducted in that year.

The Quebec Mining Act, by providing for the inclusion in annual profits of the value of minerals placed upon the market, merely follows the provision set forth above regarding insurance companies and the method of taxing long term contracts. The Quebec Mining Act really provides for a tax upon annual income by placing the value of the minerals obtained and the cost of obtaining them in the same year.

Income for the purpose of the income tax in the United States is determined upon the method of accounting regularly employed by the taxpayer. The Quebec Mining Act provides a method of keeping the taxpayer's books so as clearly to reflect the taxpayer's income on an annual basis. Such a provision is not foreign to the concept of income under the laws of the United States. Thus, Article 21-1 of Regulations 94, applicable to the Revenue Act of 1936, provides:

"Although taxable net income is a statutory conception, it follows, subject to certain modifications as to exemptions and as to deductions for partial losses in some cases, the lines of commercial usage. Subject to these modifications statutory net income is commercial net income. This appears from the fact that ordinarily it is to be computed in accordance with the method of accounting regularly employed in keeping the books of the taxpayer." (Italics supplied.)

No particular method of accounting fixes net income in one case and not in another, but the Federal income tax is on net income computed in accordance with the method of accounting regularly employed in keeping the books of the taxpayer.

The Circuit Court of Appeals also held that the Quebec Mining Tax was not an income tax because the deductions were limited to deductions in connection with the cost of mining operations. The question of deductions is really immaterial in deciding whether a tax is an income tax in the United States because income taxes may be placed upon gross income. Thus the 5% Victory Tax imposed by sub-chapter D as added by Section 172 of the Revenue Act of 1942, and the United States payroll tax on employees imposed by Chapter 9 of the Internal Revenue Code are taxes on gross income from which no deductions are allowed. Thus, the allowance of deductions is immaterial in deciding whether a tax is an income tax. As this Court has said, deductions are a matter of legislative grace. New Colonial Ice Company, Inc. v. Helvering, 292 U. S. 435.

The Quebec Mining Tax is a tax on income and a tax which may be credited against income taxes due the United States under Section 131 of the Revenue Act of 1936. The Bureau of Internal Revenue and the Board of Tax Appeals have decided many times whether particular foreign taxes constitute income taxes, but the question as to what criteria of income taxation must be used and how exactly the foreign tax must conform to the Internal Revenue Code in order to be an income tax subject to credit has never been decided by this Court. Thus the following taxes have been held to be income taxes:

Foreign Country or Possession	Tax	Citation
Argentine	Dividend tax, paid by company, allowable as a credit to share-holders	I. T. 2762; (1934) C. B. XIII-1, 64
Australia	Special property tax on dividends	I. T. 3201; C. B. 1938-2, 196
Bolivia	Tax in proportion to ra- tio of profits to cap- ital invested in min- ing companies	I. T. 2070; (1924) C. B. III-2, 250
Brazil	Tax computed under optional method as a percentage of corporate gross profit	G. C. M. 800; (1926) C. B. V-2, 75
	4 per cent income tax under Art. 174. In- come Tax Regula- tions modified to June 20, 1932	I. T. 3313; C. B. 1939-2, 171
Canada	.5 per cent tax for copyright use deducted from payments to non-residents (Chap. 40, Income War Tax Act, assented to June 28, 1935)	I. T. 2964; (1936) C. B. XV-1, 138
	Ontario and Quebec tax on net revenue under Section 3a of the Cor- porations Tax Act	B. 1937-1, 149
	Tax withheld from roy- alties and paid by Canadian agent	Crawford Music Corp., 40 B. T. A. 284 (A)

Foreign Country or		
Possession	Tax	Citation
Chile	Corporate tax on in- come under Decree- law No. 225, dated February 17, 1927	G. C. M. 6042; (1929) C.B. VIII- 1, 184
Cuba	8 per cent tax on profits of corporations with a ''s h a r e capital.'' Law effective Janu- ary 29, 1927	G. C. M. 7629; (1930) C. B. IX- 1, 146
	8 per cent on organiza- tions for cultivation and exploitation of tobacco and sugar. Act of July 31, 1917	I. T. 1522; (1922) C. B. I-2, 199
	Havana tax on profits from gas and electric light plants	Havana Elec. Ry. Lt. & Pwr. Co., 34 B. T. A. 782 (A)
,	Fax on gross income from freight and pas- sengers shipped in national ports, im- posed by article XV of Cuban law of July 6, 1928	Seatrain Lines, Inc., 46 B. T. A. 1076 (NA)
Ecuador	Corporation tax im- posed by Title III, Article 14 of 1928 Law	I. T. 2445; (1929) C. B. VIII-1, 102
Great Britain.	Tax deducted from copyright royalties and paid by British agent	Crawford Music Corp., 40 B. T. A. 284 (A)

10	
Foreign	
Country or	r
Possession	
	Nati
	bı
	19
	В
	19
	War
	he
	pa
Guatemala.	-
Guatemaia.	e
	e
Italy	
Italy	
	s
	Abs
Mexico	Abs
	r
	e
	s
	1
	Ass
	1
	1
	3

,	
Tax Vational defense contribution under section 19, part III of the British Finance Act,	Citation I. T. 3171; C. B. 1938-1, 192
Var loan taxes with- held from interest paid on war bonds Tax upon profits or net earnings of business	Columbian Carbon Co., 25 B. T. A. 456 (A) I. T. 3500; C. B. 1941-2, 138
enterprises 'Richezza Mobile,'' tax on income from per- sonal property	S. M. 3982; (1925) C. B. IV-2, 204
Absenteeism tax effective March 1, 1934 at rate of 2 and 4 per cent on income of persons absent from Mexico	G. C. M. 14625; C. B. XIV-1, 114
Assessment on receipts approved July 31, 1931; taxes imposed under Sections IV and V (a) of Article 1 on sales or transfers of concessions, and on	I. T. 2620; (1932) C. B. XI-1, 44

wages, salaries and other remuneration; taxes imposed by Section II of Article 1 on interest or rent re-

ceipts

Foreign Country or		
Possession	Tax	Citation
	5 per cent tax on inter- est under article 20 of the Mexican law "Ley del Impuesto sobre la Renta"	I. T. 3385; C. B. 1940-1, 103
	Tax under "Law of Taxation on Excess Profits"	I. T. 3381; C. B. 1940-1, 57
	Tax on associations and enterprises effective March 1, 1924	I. T. 2188; (1925) C. B. IV-2, 82
Netherlands	"Wet op de dividend-en tantiemebelasting" on dividends	I. T. 3371; C. B. 1940-1, 102
Panama	"Fondo Obrero," an income tax	I. T. 3245; C. B. 1939-1, 112
Peru	7 per cent tax and 1 per cent tax imposed by decrees of February 17, 1936	I. T. 3223; C. B. 1938-2, 179
Puerto Rico	Income from sales of to- bacco imposed by sec- tion 9 of Act #43, ap- proved July 1, 1921	I. T. 2118; (1924) C. B. III-2, 251
Virgin		
Islands	Income tax	I. T. 2946; (1935) C. B. XIV-2, 109.

On the other hand, the following taxes have been held not to be income taxes:

Foreign Country or Possession

Tax

Citation

Canada .....1 per cent tax on net insurance premiums

I. T. 3138, C. B.
1937-2, 230; Helvering v. Queen
Ins. Co., 115 F.
(2d) 341, cert.
den. 312 U. S.
706

Nova Scotia, Quebec, Ontario, Saskatchewan, Alberta and Manitoba provincial taxes on gross insurance premiums I. T. 3211; C. B. 1938-2, 177

Cuba .......Tax on gross revenue under Article XV of law approved July 9, 1928 I. T. 2596; (1931) C. B. X-2, 184

Bag tax on corporate sugar plantations

O. D. 372; (1920) C. B. 2, 115

Tax on percentage of sales, exchanges or assignments, gross receipts, and on purchase of merchandise from abroad imposed by the Cuban law of October 9, 1922, as amended July 15, 1925, January 27, 1927, decree laws No. 393, November 8, 1935, and No. 695, March 3, 1940.

I. T. 3429; C. B. 1940-2, 136 Foreign Country or Possession

Tax

Tax imposed on commercial value of the product at the mouth of the well, minus cost of transportation, imposed by Articles XXIII and XXIII of Chapter VI of the mineral fuel law effective January 1, 1939

Citation
I. T. 3433, C. B. 1940-2, 137

France ...... Turnover tax, law of June 25, 1920

"Chiffre d'affaires"

Eitingon-Schild Co., 21 B. T. A. 1163 (A)

G. C. M. 8478; (1930) C. B. IX-2, 224

Great Britain. In come tax withheld from royalties on patents

Italy ...... Property or inheritance
taxes described as
"famiglia," "esercizio," "vetture e
dom," "cani," "imposta patrimonio,"
"terreni," "fabbricati" or "assicurazi-

Trico Products
Corp., 46 B. T.
A. 346, on appeal

S. M. 3982; (1925) C. B. IV-2, 204

Mexico . . . . . Tax on receipts from commerce, industry and agriculture under section 1 of Article 1 of law approved July 31, 1931

one agricola"

I. T. 2620; (1932) C. B. XI-1, 44

Foreign Country or		G** **
Possession	Tax	Citation
	"Ley del Impuesto so- bre la Renta" on ex- ports to Mexico under Article 14 of Mexican decree signed August 30, 1937, effective September 15, 1937	I. T. 3193; C. B. 1938-1, 193
	5 per cent fax on gross revenue of film dis- utors	I. T. 3288; C. B. 1939-1, 139
	Tax on exportation of capital effective Au- gust 31, 1936	I. T. 3040; C. B. 1937-1, 109
	Proportionate rate tax of 10 per cent on oil production participa- tions	I. T. 3320; C. B. 1939-2, 191
New Zealand	l.Film-hire tax on re- ceipts from renting sound-picture films imposed under part V of 1930 finance act	G. C. M. 11039; (1932) C. B. XI- 2, 118
Peru	Sugar export tax paid in 1918 and 1919 un- der laws 2143 and 2727	I. T. 2499; (1929) C. B. VIII-2, 325
Philippine		
	D' lless dem en reluc	Malloul 34 B T

Islands ......Privilege tax on value Mallouk, 34 B. T. of goods exported to

United States

A. 269.

To arrive at a correct determination as to whether the foregoing taxes are or are not income taxes entitled to be credited in the United States, this Court should decide how exactly these taxes must conform to the Internal Revenue Code in order to be used as a credit.

#### CONCLUSION.

This case involves matters of novel impression which should be reviewed by this Court and a writ of certiorari should issue for that purpose as prayed in the foregoing petition.

Respectfully submitted,

CHARLES MYERS,
KENNETH W. GEMMILL,
Attorneys for Petitioner.

Dated: Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, June 15, 1943.



Office - Outer (Cash) the Cash (Cash) the Cash

# In the Supreme Court of the United States

Octobe Tenic 1943

KEASBEE & MAYSTEDS OGGERS), PRINTENED

WALTER A BROWN TO

ON PARTERON SON A TRANSPORTER OF OUR OFFICE OF SOME OF

# INDEX

	I'a
Opinions below	
Jurisdiction,	
Question presented_	
Statutes involved	
Statement	
Argument	
Conclusion_	
Appendix	
***	
CITATIONS	
Case:	
Biddle v. Commissioner, 302 U. S. 573.	
Statutes:	
Quebec Mining Act R. S. (1909), 2098;	
Division 1	4
Division III.	4
Revenue Act of 1936, c. 690, 49 Stat. 1648;	4
Section 23	
Section 31	0
Section 131.	2,
Revenue Act of 1942, c. 619, 56 Stat. 957, Section 158	2
Miscellaneous:	
111111111111111111111111111111111111111	
I. T. 2909, XIV-2 Cum. Bull. 136 (1935)	
S. Rep. No. 1631, 77th Cong., 2d Sess., p. 131	

# In the Supreme Court of the United States

# OCTOBER TERM, 1943

#### No. 107

Keasbey & Mattison Company, petitioner v.

WALTER J. ROTHENSIES, COLLECTOR OF INTERNAL REVENUE

ON PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

#### BRIEF FOR THE RESPONDENT IN OPPOSITION

#### OPINIONS BELOW

The opinion of the Circuit Court of Appeals (R. 23-31) is reported at 133 F. 2d 894. The findings of fact, conclusions of law, and opinion of the District Court (R. 18-20) are unreported.

#### JURISDICTION

The judgment of the Circuit Court of Appeals was entered February 17, 1943. (R. 31.) A petition for rehearing was denied March 17, 1943. (R. 31, 32.) The petition for a writ of certiorari was filed on June 17, 1943. The jurisdiction of this Court is invoked under Section 240 (a) of

the Judicial Code, as amended by the Act of February 13, 1925.

#### QUESTION PRESENTED

Whether the taxpayer is entitled to a credit against its federal income tax for taxes paid the Province of Quebec under the Quebec Mining Act. The answer depends upon whether the Canadian tax was an "income tax" within the meaning of Sections 31 and 131 (a) (1) of the Revenue Act of 1936.

#### STATUTES INVOLVED

The statutes involved are set forth in the Appendix, infra, pp. 6-12.

#### STATEMENT

The taxpayer, during its fiscal year ended March 31, 1937, paid the Province of Quebec, Canada, \$10,072.26 in taxes under the provisions of the Quebec Mining Act (Appendix, infra). The taxpayer included this amount in the credit claimed against its federal income tax for "income \* \* \* taxes paid \* \* \* during the taxable year to any foreign country" under Sections 31 and 131 of the Revenue Act of 1936, infra. The Commissioner disallowed the credit to the extent of the payment under the Quebec Mining Act, allowing that amount as a deduction from gross income under Section 23 (c) of the Act. (R. 6, 7-8, 18.)

A deficiency was assessed accordingly and upon payment the taxpayer filed a claim for refund which was denied. (R. 8–9.) This suit was then brought. (R. 2.) The District Court, in its findings of fact, analyzed the Quebec Mining Act and found that it was not an income tax. It therefore concluded that the credit was properly disallowed and that the taxpayer was not entitled to recover. (R. 18–19.) The Circuit Court of Appeals affirmed on the grounds that the tax was an excise tax on the mining privilege and not an income tax. (R. 23–31.)

#### ARGUMENT

The decision below is correct. The taxpayer's right to credit the Canadian tax against its federal income tax, rather than deducting it from gross income, depended upon a showing that it was a foreign "income" tax within the meaning of Sections 23 (c) (2), 31 and 131 (a) (1) of the statute. It is not disputed that under *Biddle v. Commissioner*, 302 U. S. 573, the determination must rest upon the criteria of an income tax under our laws.

The Canadian statute provides that every mine in the Province of Quebec shall be liable for a duty measured by a percentage of its "annual profits." The "annual profits" are determined by deducting from the "gross value of the

Since the Commissioner permitted the taxpayer to deduct the Canadian tax from gross income under Section 23 (c) (2), it was not deprived of tax benefit under the Federal statute with respect to its payment of the Canadian tax However, it seeks more than a mere deduction; it seeks to credit the Canadian tax against its liability under the Federal statute.

year's output, sold, utilized or shipped during the year" enumerated "costs of operation and expenses." The "gross value of the year's output" is defined to mean "the real value of the ore and minerals at the ruling market prices at the time of their sale or of their use." Sec. 17. Thus the levy is measured by the market value of the product of the mine whether or not gain has been realized by actual sale and whether the proceeds of such sales as may have been made are greater or less than the ruling market prices. Our income taxes, however, have always been based upon the amount of realized gain; nothing in any of the cases cited by the taxpaver suggests the contrary. It was that kind of tax to which Sections 31 and 131 (c) of the Act must refer.

The decision is in accord with the applicable administrative ruling. I. T. 2909, XIV-2 Cum. Bull. 136 (1935). Moreover, in considering the question in 1942, Congress amended the credit provisions of the statute to apply to foreign taxes "imposed in lieu of a tax upon income." Whether the tax here is such a tax need not be considered since the amendment applies only to taxable years beginning after December 31, 1941.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The allowable deductions are restricted to the costs incurred in the mining operation, as distinguished from expenses incident to the general conduct of the business. It is specifically provided that there shall be no allowance or deduction "for depreciation in the value of the mine, by reason of exhaustion or partial exhaustion of minerals, due to the mining thereof." (Sec. 14, Appendix, infra.)

Revenue Act of 1942, c. 619, 56 Stat. 957, Section 158. However, the report of the Senate Finance Committee (S. Rep. No. 1631, 77th Cong., 2d Sess., p. 131) stated:

In the interpretation of the term "income tax," the Commissioner, the Board, and the courts have consistently adhered to a concept of income tax rather closely related to our own, and if such foreign tax was not imposed upon a basis corresponding approximately to net income it was not recognized as a basis for such credit. \* \* \*

Congress apparently contemplated no change in the existing rulings except where the amendment was specifically applicable.

There is no conflict among the circuits.

#### CONCLUSION

The petition for a writ of certiorari should be denied.

Respectfully submitted.

OSCAR COX,
Acting Solicitor General.
SAMUEL O. CLARK, Jr.,
Assistant Attorney General.
SEWALL KEY,
SAMUEL H. LEVY,
F. E. YOUNGMAN,

Special Assistants to the Attorney General.

JULY 1943.

#### APPENDIX

Revenue Act of 1936, c. 690, 49 Stat. 1648:

Sec. 23. Deductions from gross income. In computing net income there shall be allowed as deductions:

- (c) Taxes Generally.—Taxes paid or accrued within the taxable year except—
- (2) income, war-profits, and excessprofits taxes imposed by the authority of any foreign country or possession of the United States; but this deduction shall be allowed in the case of a taxpayer who does not signify in his return his desire to have to any extent the benefits of section 131 (relating to credit for taxes of foreign countries and possessions of the United States);

SEC. 31. Taxes of foreign countries and possessions of the United States.

The amount of income, war-profits, and excess-profits taxes imposed by foreign countries or possessions of the United States shall be allowed as a credit against the tax, to the extent provided in section 131.

Sec. 131. Taxes of foreign countries and possessions of United States.

(a) Allowance of Credit.—If the taxpayer signifies in his return his desire to have the benefits of this section, the tax imposed by this title shall be credited with:

(1) Citizen and Domestic Corporation.— In the case of a citizen of the United States and of a domestic corporation, the amount of any income, war-profits, and excessprofits taxes paid or accrued during the taxable year to any foreign country or to any possession of the United States: \* \* \*

Quebec Mining Act R. S. (1909), 2098:

#### Division 1

#### DECLARATORY AND INTERPRETATIVE

16. The word "output" includes the mineral-bearing substances coming from the mine such as those sold, removed or placed upon the market, including therein those treated or partially treated at any smelter

or mill forming part of the works.

17. The words "gross value of the year's output" mean the real value of the ore and minerals at the ruling market prices at the time of their sale or of their use, and, in case of doubt, the representatives of the Department of Colonization, Mines and Fisheries may themselves make an estimate of the value of the ores or minerals sold, utilized or shipped by the operators. and such estimate shall be final to establish the gross value:

### Division III

#### DUTIES ON MINES

11. There shall be paid to the Crown, at the time and in the manner hereinafter provided, the duties imposed by this division.

R. S. (1909), 2105, 15 Geo. V, ch. 37, Section 2, as amended by 25-26 Geo. V (1935). ch. 41. Section 1.

12. 1. From and after the 1st of January, 1935, every mine in the Province of Quebec shall be liable for, and the owner, manager, holder, lessee, occupant or operator of the mine shall pay, the following duties:

a. Upon annual profits in excess of \$10.000.00 up to \$1,000,000.00 \* \* \* \* 4%;

R. S. (1909), 2105 (a), 15 Geo. V, ch. 37, Section 2.

13. The annual profits shall be ascertained and fixed in the following manner:

From the gross value of the year's output, sold, utilized or shipped during the year there shall be deducted the costs of operation and expenses incurred during the year in question, to wit:

(1) The cost of transportation of the output of the mine, if such cost is borne by the operator, owner, occupant or lessee;

(2) The working expenses of the mine, including the salaries and the wages of the workmen and employees of the mine, mills and plant, but exclusive of other salaries or wages;

(3) The cost of the necessary power and light for the operation of the mine, mills

and plant;

(4) The cost of explosives, fuel and any other supplies used in the mining operations and in the treatment of the minerals by the operator;

(5) The cost of insurance upon the equipment, the buildings at the mine and

the stock in storage;

(6) An annual amount, based upon the probable annual average cost of repairs and renewals necessary to maintain opera-

tions in a condition of efficiency, to cover the depreciation due to ordinary wear and tear of the buildings and equipment, provided, however, that such amount shall not exceed fifteen percent of the value of same at the commencement of the year, as appraised by an assessor appointed by the Minister:

(7) The cost of work performed during the year in sinking shafts, making excavations and workings and trenching, in or upon the mining property, with a view to opening up or testing for minerals, R. S. (1909), 2105 (b), 15 Geo, V, ch. 37, Sec-

tion 2.

14. No allowance or deduction shall be made for the cost of new installations or new buildings made or erected during the year, nor for depreciation in the value of the mine, by reason of exhaustion or partial exhaustion of minerals, due to the mining thereof. R. S. (1909), 2105 (c), 15 Geo. V. ch. 37, Section 2.

15. (1) On or before the 1st of March, in each year, every owner, manager, holder, lessee, occupant or operator, liable for the aforesaid duties, shall send to the Minister a sworn statement, furnishing the details hereinafter enumerated, for the year ending on the 31st of December last pre-

ceding, to wit:

a. \*

c. The quantity of minerals and mineralbearing substances sent from or treated on the mining premises during the year ending on the 31st of December last preceding:

d. The name or names of the smelter or mill and locality, where the minerals or mineral-bearing substances were treated:

e. The cost per ton for transportation of minerals or mineral-bearing substances to the smelter, refinery or mill, as well as the expenses incurred for effecting the sale thereof;

f. The cost per ton for smelter or mill

charges, and by whom paid or borne;

g. The quantity of minerals and mineralbearing substances treated on the mining premises during the year;

h. The gross value, at the mine, of the minerals and mineral-bearing substances

produced:

i. The value of the minerals and mineralbearing substances sold, after deducting the charges for effecting the sale thereof and for transportation and treatment;

j. The value of minerals and mineralbearing substances treated on the the min-

ing premises.

Such statement shall also indicate in other columns the various costs and expenses which are allowed to be deducted under section 13 so as to show the total receipts for the year's output, the total amount of expenses to be deducted, and the total amount of profits for the year.

(3) \* \* \* R. S. (1909), 2105 (d), 15

Geo. V., ch. 37, Section 2.

17. The Minister may, when there is occasion therefor, appoint one or more employees who shall be considered as officers of the Department of Colonization, Mines and Fisheries, and it shall be their duty, subject to the direction of the Minister, to prepare annually, or oftener if so required, lists and descriptions of, and to ascertain and report the facts and particulars concerning all the mines, mining rights and mining properties, liable or which might

become liable to the duties upon mines imposed under this division, which lists and descriptions must contain all the facts and particulars respecting such mines, and to furnish copies thereof to the Department of Colonization, Mines and Fisheries, and to make such investigations and perform such duties as may be assigned by the Minister as provided under this division. R. S. (1909), 2105 (f), 15 Geo. V, ch. 37, Section 2.

18. It shall be at all times lawful for any such officer to enter upon mining premises for the purpose of making inquiries, obtaining particulars or information, and for performing the duties assigned to him under this division, and, for any of such purposes, he shall be authorized to examine the pits and excavations, and to use all tackle, machinery and other things appertaining to the mine as he shall deem necessary or expedient, and he shall have free ingress to and egress from all buildings, erections and storehouses used in connection with the operations and works, and he shall, as he may deem expedient, be allowed to take therefrom such samples or specimens as he may think necessary for the purpose of determining by assay or otherwise the value of the ore, minerals or mineral-bearing substances being taken therefrom or any product thereof; and he shall have full and complete access to all books of account and correspondence kept, or used for or in connection with the business of such mine, and may examine the same and take copies thereof or extracts therefrom; but no information of a private or confidential nature, acquired by such officer under the provisions of this division, shall be communicated or disclosed to anyone except insofar as may be necessary for the purposes of this division. R. S. (1909), 1205 (g),

15 Geo. V, ch. 37, Section 2.

19. After the receipt by the Minister of the returns and statements mentioned in section 15, the inspector of mines shall prepare a list showing all the mines and persons liable for the duties, the quantity and value of the output of each mine or smelter, the amount of deductions therefrom as operating expenses, the amount of the profits liable for the duties, and the amount of the duties payable by each person; and a notice thereof shall be given to such person at least fifteen days before the date fixed for payment. R. S. (1909), 2105 (h), 15 Geo. V, ch. 37, Section 2.